



CYGNAL

Survey of Likely General Election Voters

Arizona Statewide

Conducted April 23 - 25, 2026

n=600 | $\pm 4.00\%$

Q1. Top Priorities: Thinking about the priorities of the Arizona state legislature, which THREE of the following issues do you most want lawmakers to focus on in the upcoming session? Select up to three.

	Freq.	%
Water supply and Colorado River protection	302	50.4%
Inflation and the cost of living	279	46.6%
Illegal immigration and border security	179	29.8%
Taxes and government spending	175	29.1%
Education	156	25.9%
Healthcare	143	23.8%
Crime and public safety	128	21.3%
Infrastructure and roads	123	20.5%
Jobs and the economy	119	19.9%
Homelessness	107	17.8%
Gun control	34	5.7%
Abortion	29	4.9%
Unsure	2	0.3%
Total	600	100.0%

Q2. Top Environmental Priority: Thinking about issues related to the natural environment in Arizona, which of the following do you believe is the most important for the Arizona state legislature to prioritize?

	Freq.	%
Water, water supply, and drought preparedness	407	67.8%
Land conservation and open space protection	85	14.2%
Climate change	52	8.6%
Air quality and pollution	25	4.2%
Wildlife	22	3.7%
Unsure	9	1.6%
Total	600	100.0%

Q5. Water Budget Priority: Do you think the Arizona state legislature should make water security and addressing water scarcity (or water supply issues) in the Colorado River a priority in the upcoming state budget?

	Freq.	%
Yes	565	94.2%
Definitely yes	442	73.7%
Probably yes	123	20.5%
No	17	2.8%
Probably no	12	1.9%
Definitely no	5	0.8%
Unsure	18	3.0%
Total	600	100.0%

Q6. Arizona Water Concern: How concerned are you about Arizona's long-term water supply?

	Freq.	%
Concerned	550	91.6%
Very concerned	393	65.5%
Somewhat concerned	157	26.1%
Not concerned	37	6.2%
Not very concerned	29	4.8%



Not at all concerned	8	1.4%
Unsure	13	2.2%
Total	600	100.0%

Q7. Initial Fund Support: Arizona is facing severe drought conditions that threaten the Colorado River, a critical source of water for millions of Arizonans, as well as water-dependent industries from agriculture to mining. State lawmakers are considering a proposal to create a Colorado River Protection Fund to help keep water in Lake Mead, compensate water users who voluntarily reduce their water use, and invest in projects that improve the health of both rivers and forests. Do you support or oppose the creation of a Colorado River Protection Fund?

	Freq.	%
<u>Support</u>	<u>447</u>	<u>74.5%</u>
Strongly support	299	49.9%
Somewhat support	148	24.7%
<u>Neither support nor oppose</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>8.3%</u>
<u>Oppose</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>6.9%</u>
Somewhat oppose	18	3.1%
Strongly oppose	23	3.9%
Undecided	61	10.2%
Total	600	100.0%

Q17. Water Priority: Arizona is debating how to address long-term water supply challenges, including drought conditions threatening the Colorado River. A proposed fund would provide durable, long-term funding for water security that can help increase reliability of in-state water supplies, conserve water, and develop new water sources for the benefit of Arizona's economy and current and future generations. Some say water security and addressing water scarcity in the Colorado River should be a top priority for the Arizona state legislature, as Arizona's water supply faces serious threats that require immediate state investment. Others say water is just one of many important issues, and the legislature should focus on more immediate priorities like the economy, education, and public safety before committing new funding to water. Knowing this, which opinion on Arizona's water supply do you agree with more?

	Freq.	%
Water security should be a top legislative priority requiring immediate state	411	68.5%
Water is important, but there are more pressing priorities for the legislature right now	126	20.9%
Unsure	64	10.6%
Total	600	100.0%



Q18. Legislator Water Expectation: How important is it to you that your state legislators make water security and addressing water scarcity in the Colorado River a priority in the current legislative session?

	Freq.	%
<u>Important</u>	<u>537</u>	<u>89.6%</u>
Extremely important	226	37.7%
Very important	206	34.3%
Somewhat important	105	17.5%
<u>Not important</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>5.6%</u>
Not very important	17	2.9%
Not at all important	16	2.7%
Unsure	29	4.8%
Total	600	100.0%

Q19. Water Vote Impact: Would you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of your state legislator if they voted in support of creating the Colorado River Protection Fund?

	Freq.	%
<u>Favorable</u>	<u>402</u>	<u>67.1%</u>
Very favorable	244	40.6%
Somewhat favorable	159	26.5%
<u>Neither favorable nor unfavorable</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>15.6%</u>
<u>Unfavorable</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>8.7%</u>
Somewhat unfavorable	23	3.8%
Very unfavorable	30	4.9%
Unsure	52	8.6%
Total	600	100.0%

Q21. Gender

	Freq.	%
Female	320	53.3%
Male	281	46.8%
Total	600	100.0%

Q22. Age Range

	Freq.	%
<u>Under 55</u>	<u>268</u>	<u>44.6%</u>
18 - 29	61	10.2%
30 - 44	111	18.5%
45 - 54	96	15.9%
<u>55 and Over</u>	<u>332</u>	<u>55.4%</u>
55 - 64	128	21.4%
65+	204	34.0%
Total	600	100.0%

Q23. Partisanship by Registration

	Freq.	%
Republican	247	41.2%
Democrat	201	33.5%
No party affiliation	120	20.0%
Other	23	3.8%
Unsure	9	1.5%



Total	600	100.0%
-------	-----	--------

Q24. Ideology

	Freq.	%
<u>Conservative</u>	<u>264</u>	<u>43.9%</u>
Very conservative	120	19.9%
Somewhat conservative	144	24.0%
<u>Moderate</u>	<u>141</u>	<u>23.5%</u>
<u>Liberal</u>	<u>178</u>	<u>29.7%</u>
Somewhat liberal	82	13.7%
Very liberal	96	16.0%
Unsure	17	2.8%
Total	600	100.0%

Q25. Community Type

	Freq.	%
Rural	76	12.7%
Small town	106	17.6%
Suburban	293	48.8%
Urban	125	20.9%
Total	600	100.0%

Q26. Income by Response

	Freq.	%
Less than \$25,000	35	5.9%
\$25,000 - \$49,999	94	15.7%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	100	16.7%
\$75,000 - \$99,999	76	12.6%
\$100,000 - \$124,999	65	10.8%
\$125,000 - \$199,999	93	15.5%
\$200,000+	87	14.6%
Unsure	50	8.3%
Total	600	100.0%

Q27. Race/Ethnicity

	Freq.	%
White or Caucasian	418	69.6%
Asian or Pacific Islander	7	1.2%
Black or African American	20	3.3%
Hispanic or Latino	108	18.1%
Other	31	5.2%
Unsure	16	2.7%
Total	600	100.0%

Q28. 2024 Retro Ballot

	Freq.	%
Republican Donald Trump	275	45.9%
Democrat Kamala Harris	254	42.4%
Another candidate	16	2.7%
Did not vote in 2024	35	5.9%
Unsure	19	3.1%
Total	600	100.0%



Q29. Education Level

	Freq.	%
<u>No degree</u>	<u>330</u>	<u>54.9%</u>
Some high school	6	1.0%
High school diploma/GED	71	11.8%
Technical Certification	44	7.3%
Some college or Associate's Degree	208	34.7%
<u>At least College</u>	<u>267</u>	<u>44.4%</u>
Four-year undergraduate or Bachelor's Degree	139	23.1%
Graduate degree or further	128	21.4%
Unsure	4	0.6%
Total	600	100.0%

METHODOLOGY

This probabilistic survey was conducted April 23 - 25, 2026, with 600 likely general election voters. It has a margin of error of $\pm 4.00\%$. Known registered voters were interviewed via online panel and SMS. This survey was weighted to a likely general election voter universe.

ABOUT THE FIRM

[Cygnal](#) is an award-winning international polling, public opinion, and predictive analytics firm that pioneered multi-mode polling, text-to-web collection, and emotive analysis. Cygnal consistently ranks as the most accurate firm, and clients rely on Cygnal's ability to create intelligence for action. Its team members have worked in all 50 states and multiple countries on more than 3,000 corporate, public affairs, and political campaigns.

